



BETTER  
BOUNDARIES

# REPORT CARD

## REDISTRICTING REPORT CARD: DID YOUR LEGISLATOR LISTEN TO YOU?

Lawmakers are supposed to represent YOU, their constituent. In 2018, Utahns voted in favor of Prop 4, creating an independent redistricting commission and criteria for redistricting that centers the needs of voters, not politicians. Our goal was to remove politicians' inherent conflict of interest so that voters pick their politicians, not the other way around. However, the 2021 redistricting process has left Utah more gerrymandered than ever. Lawmakers of all political persuasions worked behind closed doors to secure their seats. Now, cities, counties, and communities across Utah are sliced and diced to protect politicians from electoral accountability. Better Boundaries is committed to holding all elected officials accountable for their role in redistricting. **In our report card, we grade legislators whose districts voted in favor of Prop 4. The question is: did they listen to YOU, their voter, or protect their own self-interest?**

*We apologize for an error in earlier versions of our report card. Rep. Thurston was incorrectly identified as having voted in favor of the legislature's maps, when in fact he did not participate in the votes. This error has been corrected and we apologize to Rep. Thurston.*

# A's

		<b>NAME</b> <b>REP. BENNION</b>	<b>DISTRICT</b> <b>46</b>	<b>GRADE</b> <b>A</b>
---	---	------------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------

Rep. Bennion voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map and State Senate map. She recognized her own inherent self interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents.

		<b>NAME</b> <b>REP. BRISCOE</b>	<b>DISTRICT</b> <b>25</b>	<b>GRADE</b> <b>A</b>
---	---	------------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------

Rep. Briscoe voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map and State Senate map. He recognized his own inherent self interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents.

		<b>NAME</b> <b>REP. DAILEY- PROVOST</b>	<b>DISTRICT</b> <b>24</b>	<b>GRADE</b> <b>A</b>
---	---	--	------------------------------	--------------------------

Rep. Dailey-Provost voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map and State Senate map. She recognized her own inherent self interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents.



**NAME**  
**REP. HARRISON**

**DISTRICT**  
**32**

**GRADE**  
**A**

Rep. Harrison voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map and State Senate map. She recognized her own inherent self interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents.



**NAME**  
**SENATOR  
KITCHEN**

**DISTRICT**  
**2**

**GRADE**  
**A**

Senator Kitchen voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional and State House maps. He sought to keep communities together by voting against the State Senate map, which split up cities and towns at significant rates. He demonstrated leadership throughout the process, including speaking in favor



**NAME**  
**REP. LESSER**

**DISTRICT**  
**10**

**GRADE**  
**A**

Rep. Lesser voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map and State Senate map. She recognized her own conflict of interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents.



**NAME**  
**REP. PITCHER**

**DISTRICT**  
**40**

**GRADE**  
**A**

Rep. Pitcher voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map and State Senate map. She recognized her own inherent self interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents.



**NAME**  
**SENATOR RIEBE**

**DISTRICT**  
**8**

**GRADE**  
**A**

Senator Riebe voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional and State House maps. She sought to keep communities together by voting against the State Senate map, which split up cities and towns at significant rates. She demonstrated leadership throughout the process, including speaking in favor of the Independent Commission on the Senate floor.



**NAME**  
**REP. ROMERO**

**DISTRICT**  
**26**

**GRADE**  
**A**

Rep. Romero voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map and State Senate map. She recognized her own inherent self interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents.



**NAME**  
**REP. WEIGHT**

**DISTRICT**  
**31**

**GRADE**  
**A**

Rep. Weight voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map and State Senate map. She recognized her own inherent self interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents.

# B's

▼		<b>NAME</b> <b>REP. COLLARD</b>	<b>DISTRICT</b> <b>22</b>	<b>GRADE</b> <b>B</b>
---	---	------------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------

Rep. Collard voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. She recognized her own conflict of interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. However, she voted in favor of the State Senate map which splits up communities of interest.

▼		<b>NAME</b> <b>REP. KWAN</b>	<b>DISTRICT</b> <b>34</b>	<b>GRADE</b> <b>B</b>
---	---	---------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------

Rep. Kwan voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. She recognized her own inherent self interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents. However, she voted in favor of the State Senate map which splits up communities of interest.

▼		<b>NAME</b> <b>REP. WHEATLEY</b>	<b>DISTRICT</b> <b>35</b>	<b>GRADE</b> <b>B</b>
---	---	-------------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------

Rep. Wheatley voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. He recognized his own inherent self interest by voting against the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents. However, he voted in favor of the State Senate map which splits up communities of interest.

## C's

▼		<b>NAME</b> <b>SENATOR ESCAMILLA</b>	<b>DISTRICT</b> <b>1</b>	<b>GRADE</b> <b>C</b>
---	---	---	-----------------------------	--------------------------

Senator Escamilla voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional and State House maps. However, she voted in her own self interest by supporting the State Senate map--which splits up communities of interest at high rates.

## D's

▼		<b>NAME</b> <b>REP. DUNNIGAN</b>	<b>DISTRICT</b> <b>39</b>	<b>GRADE</b> <b>D</b>
---	--	-------------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------

Rep. Dunnigan voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, he voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. He supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest.

▼		<b>NAME</b> <b>REP. ELIASON</b>	<b>DISTRICT</b> <b>45</b>	<b>GRADE</b> <b>D</b>
---	---	------------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------

Rep. Eliason voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, he voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. He supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest.



NAME	DISTRICT	GRADE
<b>SENATOR IWAMOTO</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>D</b>

Senator Iwamoto voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional and State House maps. However, she voted in her own self interest by supporting the State Senate map--which splits up communities of interest at high rates.



NAME	DISTRICT	GRADE
<b>REP. MOSS</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>D</b>

Rep. Moss voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, she voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. She supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest.



NAME	DISTRICT	GRADE
<b>REP. OWENS</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>D</b>

Rep. Owens voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, he voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. He supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest.



NAME	DISTRICT	GRADE
<b>REP. WINDER</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>D</b>

Rep. Winder voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, he voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. He supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest.

# F's



**NAME**  
**REP. ACTON**

**DISTRICT**  
**43**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Acton voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. She voted to support the State Senate map, which split up communities of interest. She clearly acted against her constituents wishes by promoting her own self-interest above the people.



**NAME**  
**REP. WINDER**

**DISTRICT**  
**30**

**GRADE**  
**D**

Rep. Winder voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, he voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. He supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest.



**NAME**  
**SENATOR  
CULLIMORE**

**DISTRICT**  
**9**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Senator Cullimore voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. He voted to support the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. As a member of the legislative redistricting committee, he never supported or promoted the efforts of the Independent Redistricting Commission.



**NAME**  
**SENATOR DAVIS**

**DISTRICT**  
**3**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Senator Davis voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, he voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. He supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. As a member of the legislative redistricting committee, he voted in favor of the proposed legislative maps and did not speak out in support of an independent process.



**NAME**  
**SENATOR HARPER**

**DISTRICT**  
**6**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Senator Harper voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. He voted to support the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. He clearly acted against his constituents wishes by promoting his own self-interest above the people.



**NAME**  
**REP. HOLLINS**

**DISTRICT**  
**23**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Hollins voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, she voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. She supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. As a member of the legislative redistricting committee, she voted in favor of the proposed legislative maps.



**NAME**  
**REP. KING**

**DISTRICT**  
**28**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. King voted against the heavily gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State Senate map. However, he acted in his own self interest to support the State House map—drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents. As Minority Leader, he did not lead out in the effort to remove self interest from the redistricting process.



**NAME**  
**REP. KOHLER**

**DISTRICT**  
**54**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Kohler voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. He voted to support the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. He clearly acted against his constituents wishes by promoting his own self-interest above the people.



**NAME**  
**SENATOR MAYNE**

**DISTRICT**  
**5**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Senator Mayne voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, she voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. She supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. As a member of the legislative redistricting committee, she voted in favor of the proposed legislative maps.



**NAME**  
**REP. MATTHEWS**

**DISTRICT**  
**38**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Matthews voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, she voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. She supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. As a member of the legislative redistricting committee, she voted in favor of the proposed legislative maps.



**NAME**  
**SENATOR MILLNER**

**DISTRICT**  
**18**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Senator Millner voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. She voted to support the State Senate map, which split up communities of interest. She clearly acted against her constituents wishes by promoting her own self-interest above the people.



**NAME**  
**REP. MUSSELMAN**

**DISTRICT**  
**9**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Musselman voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. He voted to support the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. He clearly acted against his constituents wishes by promoting his own self-interest above the people.



**NAME**  
**REP. ROBERTSON**

**DISTRICT**  
**63**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Robertson voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. He voted to support the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. He clearly acted against his constituents wishes by promoting his own self-interest above the people.



**NAME**  
**REP. SPENDLOVE**

**DISTRICT**  
**49**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Spendlove voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. He voted to support the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. As a member of the legislative redistricting committee, he never supported or promoted the efforts of the Independent Redistricting Commission



**NAME**  
**REP. STODDARD**

**DISTRICT**  
**44**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Stoddard voted against the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map. However, he voted in favor of the State House map, which was drawn behind closed doors and protects incumbents. He supported the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. As a member of the legislative redistricting committee, he voted in favor of the proposed legislative maps.



**NAME**  
**REP. WALDRIP**

**DISTRICT**  
**8**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Waldrip voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. He voted to support the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. He clearly acted against his constituents wishes by promoting his own self-interest above the people.



**NAME**  
**REP. WARD**

**DISTRICT**  
**19**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Ward voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. He voted to support the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. He clearly acted against his constituents wishes by promoting his own self-interest above the people.



**NAME**  
**REP. WEEKS-  
ROHNER**

**DISTRICT**  
**33**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Rep. Weeks-Rohner voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. She voted to support the State Senate map, which split up communities of interest. She clearly acted against her constituents wishes by promoting her own self-interest above the people.



**NAME**  
**SENATOR WEILER**

**DISTRICT**  
**23**

**GRADE**  
**F**

Senator Weiler voted for the heavily partisan gerrymandered congressional map, as well as the State House map. He voted to support the State Senate map, which splits up communities of interest. He clearly acted against his constituents wishes by promoting his own self-interest above the people.



BETTER  
BOUNDARIES

# CRITERIA FOR REPORT CARD

## **Position of Leadership (5 points)**

Was the legislator in a position of leadership? In their position of leadership did they advocate for the commission or for their own self-interest? Positions of leadership include Senate or Legislative leadership or membership on the Legislative Redistricting Committee.

An additional point was considered for those who served as committee chair or as House President, Senate President, Redistricting Chair, or Minority Leader in either house due to their ability to appoint committee members.

## **Committee Votes (5 points)**

If a member of the legislative committee, votes for self interest (2.5 points), congressional map (1.25 points), and other legislative district vote (1.25 points) are calculated.

## **Self-Interest (2.5 points)**

Did the legislator act in their own self interest by voting for their own chamber's map? Or did they recognize the inherent self interest of drawing their own districts and oppose the map?

## **Congressional Gerrymandering (1.25 points)**

Did the legislator support or oppose the partisan gerrymandered congressional map?

## **Other Legislative District Vote (1.25 points)**

Did the legislator support or oppose the other chamber's map, which was also drawn behind closed doors to protect incumbents?